

# Institute for Management and Planning Studies (IMPS)

Advanced Micro II, part 2, General Equilibrium Theory

WS 2013-14

Ali Mazyaki  
Problem set 5

## More than one Equilibrium

### Exercise 5.1

Consider an Edgeworth-box economy with two agents, whose preferences are characterized by the quasilinear utility functions

$$u_1(x_{11}, x_{21}) = x_{11} - \frac{1}{6}x_{21}^{-6}$$

$$u_2(x_{12}, x_{22}) = -\frac{1}{6}x_{12}^{-6} + x_{22}$$

Endowments are  $\omega_1 = (2, r)$ ,  $\omega_2 = (r, 2)$  with  $r = 2^{\frac{6}{7}} - 2^{\frac{1}{7}}$ .

- (i) Find the offer curves<sup>1</sup> of both agents.
- (ii) Show that the price ratios  $\frac{p_1}{p_2} = 2, 1$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$  constitute equilibrium price ratios.
- (iii) Draw a figure.

## Discontinuous Demand

### Exercise 5.2

Let  $[0, 1]^2 = [0, 1] \times [0, 1]$  be the unit square. The binary relation  $\succ_L$  on  $[0, 1]^2$  is defined by

$$(x_1, x_2) \succ_L (y_1, y_2) :\Leftrightarrow x_1 > y_1 \text{ or } [x_1 = y_1 \text{ and } x_2 > y_2].$$

- (i) Show that  $\succsim_L$  defined by  $x \succsim_L y :\Leftrightarrow y \not\succ_L x$  defines a preference relation (reflexive, transitive, complete).
- (ii) Show that there exists no utility function representing this preference relation.

---

<sup>1</sup>Remember, the offer curve of an agent is the utility maximizing allocation for a given endowment and for variable price ratios under the supposition of price taking behavior.

(iii) Let  $\omega = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  be some household's endowment and

$$\tilde{B}(p) = \{x \in [0, 1]^2 | p \cdot x \leq p \cdot \omega\}$$

the bounded budget set for given prices  $p \in P = \{p \in \mathbb{R}_+^2 | p_1 + p_2 = 1\}$ . Show that demand

$$\tilde{D}(p) = \{x \in \tilde{B}(p) | x \succeq_L y \quad \forall y \in \tilde{B}(p)\}$$

is discontinuous in  $p$ . Hint: It helps to consider convergent sequences of prices  $p^v \rightarrow (1, 0)$ .

## State-Contingent Commodity

### Exercise 5.3

Consider an economy with two agents, one physical good, and two states of the world. The utility function of agent  $i$  is given by  $\pi_{1i}u(x_{1i}) + \pi_{2i}u(x_{2i})$ , where  $\pi_{si}$  is agent  $i$ 's subjective probability of state  $s \in \{1, 2\}$ . The marginal rate of substitution is given by

$$\frac{\partial x_{2i}}{\partial x_{1i}} = -\frac{\pi_{1i}u'(x_{1i})}{\pi_{2i}u'(x_{2i})}$$

or  $-\frac{\pi_{1i}}{\pi_{2i}}$  for  $x_{1i} = x_{2i}$ .

- (i) Suppose agents perceive the same probability distributions over states, i.e.  $\pi_{11} = \pi_{12}$ . Determine graphically the market equilibrium. Accordingly, determine the location of the market equilibrium without aggregate risk<sup>2</sup> in an Edgeworth box.
- (ii) How do these observations change with no aggregate risk but different probability distributions?
- (iii) Now consider the case with aggregate risk and the same probability distributions. Suppose that the economy's endowment is larger in state 1 than in state 2, i.e.  $\omega_1 > \omega_2$ . What can be said in this case about the price of the good in different states?
- (iv) Finally suppose one of the agents is risk neutral. How can you describe a risk neutral decision maker? Characterize graphically the Pareto efficient risk sharing.
- (v) Interpret your results.

---

<sup>2</sup>Equilibrium with no aggregate risk means that the economy's endowment of  $x$  coincides in both states of nature ( $\omega_1 = \omega_2$ ).